

# CLIMATE THE MOVIE

TIME CODE	SCRIPT
01:03	<b>SOUND EFFECTS</b>
00:03	'People are dying and entire ecosystems are collapsing and we are in the beginning of a mass extinction and all you can talk about is money and fairy tales of eternal economic growth - how dare you !
00:26	<b>COMM</b>  This is the story of how an eccentric environmental scare grew into a powerful global industry.
00:32	STEVEN KOONIN – it's a wonderful business opportunity - ok you want climate ? we'll give you climate
00:38	TONY HELLER– there's a huge amount of money involved, this is a huge big money scam
00:42	JOHN CLAUSER– There are not just billions of dollars there are trillions of dollars at stake
00:50	<b>COMM</b>  It's a story of self-interest and big government funding.
00:52	ROY SPENCER – People like me, our careers depend on funding of climate research (pause) this is what I have been doing just about my whole career, this is what the other climate researchers are doing with their whole career, they don't want this to end,
01:07	MATTHEW WIELICKI - , if CO2 is not having the huge negative impacts that we claimed it was originally then how are we going to stay in business ?
01:15	TONY HELLER– a lot of people's livelihood's depend on it – they're not going to give that up

01:20	<b>COMM</b> This is the story of the corruption of science
01:23	PATRICK MOORE – there is no such thing as a climate emergency happening on this planet now – there’s no evidence of one
01:29	WILLIAM HAPPER – the climate alarm is a nonsense you know...it’s a hoax, I’ve never liked hoax I think scam is a better word, but I’m willing to live with hoax
01:39	<b>COMM</b> It’s a story about the bullying and intimidation of anyone who dares to challenge the climate alarm.
01:44	MATTHEW WIELICKI – to speak up against or about climate alarm in any sort of sceptical way was essentially career suicide
01:51	BENNY PEISER – activists are even calling for any scepticism to be criminalised
01:59	<b>COMM</b> It’s the story of an assault on individual freedom
02:01	WILLIAM HAPPER– it’s a wonderful way to increase government power, if there’s an existential threat out there worldwide well you need a powerful worldwide government you know to cope with it
02:14	BENNY PEISER - we see all these authoritarian measures being adopted in the name of saving the planet
02:22	WILLIAM HAPPER– you’ve suddenly got the population under control all over the world
02:28	<b>TITLE</b> <b>CLIMATE: THE MOVIE (The Cold Truth)</b>



04:21	<p><b>COMM</b></p> <p>Prof Koonin's best-selling book <i>Unsettled</i>, argues that mainstream scientific studies, accepted by official agencies, do not support the notion that there is any kind of climate crisis at all.</p>
04:33	<p><i>STEVEN KOONIN</i></p> <p><i>Of course I've been called a denier and my response is tell me what I'm denying, because I'm quoting to you from directly from the official UN's scientific reports.</i></p>
04:48	<p><b>COMM</b></p> <p>Dick Lindzen also dismisses the claims of climate alarmists. He's one of the world's leading meteorologists, was professor of meteorology at both Harvard University and MIT, and has served on the UN's Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, or IPCC.</p>
05:04	<p><i>DICK LINDZEN</i></p> <p><i>Even the intergovernmental panel on climate change if you go to their section on working one group one which is the science, they don't support any of these claims and I assure you having served on them its biased. But you couldn't get any real scientists to agree to some of the nonsense that's being promoted</i></p>
05:29	<p><b>COMM</b></p> <p>Will Happer, another denier, is another of America's leading physicists. He has been a science advisor to three presidents, and professor of physics at both Columbia and Princeton University.</p>
05:40	<p><i>WILLIAM HAPPER – There's this mischievous idea that scientific truth is determined by consensus, in real science there are always arguments, no science is ever settled, so its absurd when people say the science of climate is settled, there's no such thing as settled science, especially climate</i></p>
06:03	<p><b>COMM</b></p> <p>Dr John Clauser is one of the most respected scientists in the world. In 2022 he won the Nobel prize for physics.</p>



07:27	<p><b>NIR SHAVIV</b>  <i>We're at the lakebed of what used to be Lake Lissan. It's a lake that existed until the end of the last ice age. Back then the lake level was maybe 100 metres above where we are located.</i></p> <p><i>When we want to reconstruct the climate of the past we have to look for evidence - for clues. And the lake existed it had deposits and by looking at these layers here, we can actually reconstruct how the climate has changed.</i></p>
08:00	<p><b>COMM</b>  Warmer water means more life, the accumulation of more shells and bones from sea creatures, and other changes that are reflected in the ancient layers of the lake bed. The lines act as a kind of thermometer. And this is just one of the many ways geologists can reconstruct past climate.</p>
10:08	<p><b>NIR SHAVIV</b>  <i>In other places we can go to stalagmite caves and see the annual rings that we have in the stalagmites, or we can drill cores from the bottom of the ocean and look at layers there, or many other places. But here I think this is one of the nicest places because you can actually see, you can actually see, how the climate has changed.</i></p>
08:43	<p><b>COMM:</b>  So when we look back in time, what do we find?</p>
08:50	<p><b>COMM:</b>  For 200 million years dinosaurs roamed the earth... An Earth marked by fertile dense forests, teeming with life.</p> <p>And at no time, during those 200 million years were temperatures as cold as they are today.</p>
09:08	<p><b>STEVEN KOONIN</b>  <i>If you go back maybe 200 million years it was maybe 13 degrees warmer than it is now. So, on a geological perspective, this is not at all unprecedented.</i></p>

09:22	<p><b>COMM:</b></p> <p>For the last 500 million years, temperatures have varied greatly, but for almost all that time, the earth was much, much warmer than today.</p> <p>Compared to the last half billion years, the earth right now is exceptionally cold.</p> <p>In fact, there are very few times when it's been this cold.</p>
09:41	<p><i>STEVEN KOONIN</i></p> <p><i>We're relatively cold. Maybe not quite the coldest it's been in 500 million years, but pretty close to it.</i></p>
09:50	<p><i>MATTHEW WIELICKI</i></p> <p><i>We are in a remarkably cool period if we look over the last 550 million years. In fact, only one other time period in that last 550 million years was the temperature as cool as it is now.</i></p>
10:03	<p><b>COMM:</b></p> <p>The mammals who now inhabit the earth began to evolve around 60 million years ago, when the world was much warmer than today.</p>
10:10	<p><i>MATTHEW WIELICKI</i></p> <p><i>If we just look at the last 65 million years – so this is after the dinosaurs go extinct, mammals really start taking over, and our evolutionary ancestors start to live on the land. Any time period within the last 65 million years was warmer than it is essentially today.</i></p>
10:27	<p><b>COMM</b></p> <p>The earth's mammals, humans included, appear to thrive when it's warm - warmer than it is now.</p>







13:45	<p>DICK LINDZEN</p> <p>Well, you know, we're talking about over the entire industrial period, of about 1 degree centigrade.</p>
13:55	<p><b>COMM</b></p> <p>To put this in 1 degree in perspective, let's look at New York Central Park.</p> <p>Records show that there has been no overall change in temperature here since 1940.</p> <p>But, from one year to the next, the average temperature can vary by <u>3</u> degrees celsius without many New Yorkers even noticing.</p> <p>In fact between the warmest year in the 1960s and the coolest in 2000, there's a difference of <u>5</u> degrees celsius.</p>
14:18	<p>STEVEN KOONIN</p> <p><i>The average temperature on this day could be five degrees different to the average temperature on this day a year ago, or 2 years ago</i></p>
14:29	<p>WILL HAPPER</p> <p><i>You know, when I hear people pontificating about 1 and a half degrees leading to the end of civilisation I just think they've been smoking. You know, are you crazy?</i></p>
14:42	<p><b>COMM:</b></p> <p>According to thermometer readings since 1880, there's been a very mild increase in temperature.</p> <p>Only by stretching the Y-axis on this graph, is the increase noticeable.</p> <p>This is the rising line, used by official agencies as proof of global warming.</p> <p>But is it accurate?</p>

15:03	<p><b>COMM:</b></p> <p>Professor Ross McKittrick, is an expert in statistical analysis at Guelph University. He noticed something odd about modern thermometer records. Thermometers, even in the same region, give out very different readings, depending on where they're located.</p>
15:18	<p><i>ROSS MCKITRICK</i></p> <p><i>I was interested in the question of how you explain the spatial pattern of warming. Some places warm a lot and some places don't warm much. and it turns out that it's highly correlated with the spatial pattern of economic activity.</i></p>
15:30	<p><b>COMM:</b></p> <p>Where there are more people and there is more human activity, there's more heat.</p> <p>This is known as the Urban Heat Island effect.</p>
15:39	<p><i>WILLIE SOON</i></p> <p><i>Urban Heat Island effect is essentially London - pick London - with buildings, with lots of activity, buildings, tends to be a few degrees - we're talking about celsius right - four or five degrees warmer than outskirts. This is the phenomenon of urbanisation.</i></p> <p><i>The biggest obvious thing is concrete retaining heat.</i></p>
16:01	<p><b>COMM:</b></p> <p>This can be illustrated with a satellite heat map of Paris.</p> <p>The centre of Paris can be as much as 5 degrees celsius warmer than the surrounding countryside.</p>
16:12	<p><i>WILLIE SOON</i></p> <p><i>Paris, London Beijing, Shanghai - you name it - New Delhi, all of them, absolutely demonstrated that effect.</i></p>

16:22	<p><b>COMM:</b></p> <p>So how has this affected the official temperature record?</p> <p>In the early part of the 20th century it was normal to erect weather thermometers just outside towns ... Close enough to check every day, but away from the heat of urban life.</p> <p>But over the 20th century those towns have expanded. Suburbs have spread. There are more roads, more cars. Thermometers which were once OUTSIDE towns, are now surrounded by shopping malls, offices, factories and houses.</p>
16:51	<p><b>ROY SPENCER</b></p> <p><i>These towns and all the locations where these thermometers are located, on average they've all grown in population, since 1880.</i></p> <p><i>You've got buildings growing up around the thermometers you've got parking lots - so you've got all of these non-climate influences which are affecting the temperatures, which raises questions about the quality of the thermometer data for monitoring global warming</i></p>
17:16	<p><b>COMM:</b></p> <p>To correct for this corruption of the data, an obvious solution is to use only records from rural weather stations, which have been less affected by urban development.</p> <p>This has now been done by a team led by Dr Willie Soon.</p>
17:29	<p><b>WILLIE SOON</b></p> <p><i>We combine all the best rural stations anything we can collect from we collect. And we show, if you don't use this set and use only rural you get a very different kind of picture.</i></p>
17:43	<p><b>COMM:</b></p> <p>According to rural temperature records temperatures rose from the 1880s but peaked in the 1940s.</p> <p>Then there was a marked cooling until the 1970s.</p> <p>After that temperatures recover, but are still, today, barely higher than they were in the 1940s.</p>

18:00	<p><i>WILLIE SOON</i>  <i>What we see is that basically we have a warming from 1900's or so to the 1930s and 40s, and then it cooled in a substantial way to the 70s, 76 or so, Instead of a long-term systematic warming trend, it has a variability. Every 50 - 60 years or so. Kind of a variation.</i></p>
18:24	<p><b>COMM:</b></p> <p>It's not just rural thermometers that show little warming.</p> <p>Merchant ships and other naval vessels have been measuring the temperature of the sea since the 19th century.</p> <p>18:35 In red we see the land temperature record since the 1860s, which has been inflated by urban thermometers.</p> <p>But in blue is the ocean temperature record.</p> <p>From around 1900, the two begin to diverge.</p> <p>Ocean records show far less warming in the 20th century and the pattern more closely resembles the rural temperature record.</p>
18:57	<p><i>WILLIE SOON</i>  <i>Sea is not meant to be contaminated by the urban heat island effect, am I right? Yes? So, when we compare the two records, within the range of uncertainty this behaviour actually fits.</i></p>
19:09	<p><b>COMM:</b></p> <p>Scientists have also studied temperature change by looking at tree rings, which again shows very little warming.</p> <p>There's a gentle rise till the mid 20th century, a cooling to the 1970s, followed by a mild recovery. Once again it shows temperatures today are barely different to those of the 1930s and 40s, and the pattern closely resembles rural temperatures.</p>



20:41	<p><b>ROY SPENCER</b>  <i>Has there been a spurious warming that has crept into the global warming temperature records over land that's just a result of an increase in population</i></p> <p><i>And that's something that we've been analysing and working a lot on lately. We're finding that especially in urban areas, er, its large, since 1980 most of the warming it looks like is due to the Urban Heat Island Effect.</i></p>
21:05	<p><b>WILL HAPPER</b></p> <p><i>We're lucky to have a few independent scientists like John Christie and Roy Spencer with their satellite measurements of temperature. Before they started releasing this, the ground base temperature records were going wild. They were going up crazy with no bounds. But now they have to contend with the fact that there's this independent and probably better way of measuring the whole globes temperature. Which is not alarming at all.</i></p>
21:39	<p><b>COMM:</b></p> <p>Evidence from multiple sources now agree that the official global temperature record, as used by world governments and reported in the world's media, is showing far too much warming over the last hundred and twenty years, artificially inflated by urbanisation.</p>
21:55	<p><b>ROSS MCKITRICK</b>  <i>You look at the weather balloon records the satellite records, the rural records, the ocean records don't warm nearly as much as land. All these indications show that the big warming pulse in the records is the northern hemisphere land record, and that's also where most of the data contamination is happening.</i></p>
22:16	<p><b>COMM</b>  But of the mild warming that has taken place in the past 3-400 years, can any of it be attributed human emissions of CO2?</p>
<p><b>CARD "The Science" / "Part 2: CO2"</b></p>	

<p>22:31</p>    <p>22:44</p>	<p><b>COMM:</b></p> <p>Professor Henrik Svensmark is visiting the Hebrew University in Jerusalem, and taking a stroll in the evolution garden, dedicated to preserving the oldest surviving plant species on earth.</p> <p>These plants aren't just pleasing on the eye. They can also tell us about levels of CO<sub>2</sub> in the atmosphere in earth's geological past.</p>
<p>22:52</p>	<p><i>HENRIK SVENSMARK</i></p> <p><i>What we have here is a ginkgo tree, and it is actually a living fossil, in the sense that this type of tree first appeared about 270 million years ago.</i></p> <p><i>On the under side of the leaf there are what we call stomata, which are the cells that can uptake CO<sub>2</sub>. So they're actually measuring how much CO<sub>2</sub> is in the air, and they adjust the number of the stomata to how much CO<sub>2</sub> there is.</i></p> <p><i>And by looking at fossils and by measuring how many there are at a different time it says something about what was the level of CO<sub>2</sub> back in time.</i></p>
<p>23:32</p>    <p>23:44</p>	<p><b>COMM:</b></p> <p>So when we look back in time, what do we find? Over almost all of the last 500 million years, the level of CO<sub>2</sub> in the atmosphere has been far far higher than it is now.</p> <p>Even with modern industry's contribution to CO<sub>2</sub> levels, by geological standards, the level of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> today is close to being as low as it has ever been.</p>
<p>23:55</p>	<p><i>HENRIK SVENSMARK</i></p> <p><i>We know, for instance, that the CO<sub>2</sub> levels were much higher than we have today. At present we have about 400 parts per million. 500 million years ago, it might have been 2,000 parts per million. So a much, much higher concentration of CO<sub>2</sub></i></p>



24:09	<p><b>MATTHEW WIELICKI</b>  <i>I think current estimates of global CO2 is 423 parts per million today.</i></p> <p><i>If we look through the Phanerozoic, the last 550 million years, we would see CO2 on the order of 7,000 ppm.</i></p>
24:24	<p><b>COMM</b>  <i>CO2 is plant-food, and the result of much higher levels of atmospheric CO2 in the past, was a much, much greener world.</i></p>
24:33	<p><b>MATTHEW WIELICKI</b>  <i>periods of elevated CO2 tend to be time periods of huge biodiversity on the planet.</i></p> <p><i>In fact, we are in a CO2 famine if we look over the last 550 million years.</i></p>
24:46	<p><b>COMM:</b></p> <p>At the depths of the most recent glacial maximum, the amount of CO2 in the atmosphere sank so low, all life on earth came close to extinction.</p>
24:56	<p><b>TOM NELSON</b>  <i>They say CO2 is higher than its been for a hundred thousand years What they don't tell you in that period we're talking about is that CO2 sank so low that all life on earth nearly died.</i></p>
25:06	<p><b>PATRICK MOORE</b>  <i>20 thousand years ago, CO2 is at the lowest level it as ever been in the history of the earth. 180 parts per million.</i></p> <p><i>If it had gone down another 30 parts per million, we'd all be dead</i></p>
25:19	<p><b>.MATTHEW WIELICKI</b>  <i>There is a low point of CO2 where photosynthesis becomes so inefficient that plant life would die. Then everything else starts to perish after that.</i></p>

25:30	<p><i>WILL HAPPER</i>  <i>During the last glacial maximum, there's good evidence that in many parts of the world there was plant starvation from not enough CO2. So we should be very grateful that CO2 levels are beginning to go back up, we are still far from the historical norm which would be several thousand parts to the million, there's not enough fossil fuel to get there but at least we're making a start.</i></p>
25:56	<p><b>COMM</b>  But has the small recent increase in CO2 affected the temperature?</p> <p>We would now show you a picture of CO2, but we can't, because it's invisible.</p> <p>CO2 makes up a tiny fraction of the gases in the atmosphere, just 0.04 of a percent. It is just one of 25 different greenhouse gases, which taken as a whole, form only <i>one</i> part of earth's complex climate system.</p> <p>So what evidence is there that this trace gas is having any noticeable impact on the climate?</p>
26:28	<p><b>COMM:</b></p> <p>If it were true that higher levels of CO2 caused higher temperatures, we should be able to see it in Earth's climate history.</p>
26:36	<p><b>COMM:</b></p> <p>Here scientists are drilling into ancient ice cores. These cores tell us both about past temperatures and CO2 levels. Scientists have indeed found a link between temperature and CO2.  The trouble is, it's the wrong way round.</p>
26:53	<p><i>WILL HAPPER</i>  <i>It's true, over the last few million years of the ice age that we're in now, that CO2 and temperature are correlate but if CO2 is the driver, it has to change first, and the temperature has to change second.</i></p>

27:07	<p><b>MATTHEW WIELICKI</b></p> <p><i>In fact, when you start to look at the data very specifically you see the exact reverse. temperature starts to rise first, and then on the order of a century, two centuries later, we start to see a rise in CO2.</i></p>
29:19	<p><b>ROSS MCKITRICK</b></p> <p><i>It's long been known that the temperature actually moves first. So, temperature goes up, CO2 goes up after that. Temperature goes down, CO2 goes down.</i></p>
27:29	<p><b>TONY HELLER</b></p> <p><i>Ice ages start when CO2 is at its maximum, and ice ages end when CO2 is at its minimum. The exact opposite of what would occur if carbon dioxide was controlling the temperature.</i></p>
27:44	<p><b>TOM NELSON</b></p> <p><i>The question is does CO2 drive the temperature is easily resolved, you can look back in time over hundreds of millions of years and sea levels have changed radically many times. Did this cause temperature change ? No. Absolutely not. CO2 has never driven temperature change in the past. Never.</i></p>
28:01	<p><b>COMM:</b></p> <p>Nor is it clear in <u>recent</u> times that CO2 is having any effect on temperature.</p> <p>Here we see industrial output of CO2 since 1750. From the mid 19th century to the mid-20th century there only was a slight increase.</p> <p>It's not until the <u>1940s</u> that industrial production of CO2 begins to take off.</p>
28:19	<p>But this <i>doesn't</i> match the temperature record. According to rural thermometers, most of the warming in the past 200 years, occurred <u>before the 1940s</u> and have barely changed since then.</p>
28:31	<p><b>STEVE KOONIN</b></p> <p><i>One of the embarrassments that the IPCC doesn't like to talk about, was that the 1930s, when human influences were much smaller, were particularly warm.</i></p>

28:42	<p><b>WILLIE SOON</b>  <i>That's the puzzle, the first early part where we have such sharp warming, from 1900 to 1930s and 1940s - the CO2 could never cause that temperature rise.</i></p>
28:54	<p><b>COMM</b>  That the 1930s and early 40s were so hot, was puzzling. More puzzling still was what happened next.</p>
29:02	<p><b>WILL HAPPER</b>  <i>By the end of World War 2, CO2 was really going up. And yet, the temperature was going down.</i></p>
29:10	<p><b>WILLIE SOON</b>  <i>From 40 to 70, while CO2 continued to rise, this thing started to cool. What happened?</i></p>
29:15	<p><b>PATRICK MOORE</b>  <i>Journalists were writing about the coming ice age. It was on the cover of Time Magazine.</i></p>
29:21	<p><b>TONY HELLER</b>  <i>The 1970s was the new ice age, that was the big story.</i></p>
29:25	<p><b>COMM</b></p> <p>And how about <u>since</u> the 1970s?</p> <p>According to computer climate models, over the past half century, rising CO2 <u>should</u> have led to this increase in temperature.</p> <p>But according to multiple satellite and balloon measurements, what <u>actually</u> happened <u>was this</u> ...</p>

	<p><b>ROY SPENCER</b>  <i>What we've found from the satellite data is that the global atmosphere is not warming up as fast as the climate models say it should be. There are a couple dozen climate models now that have been worked on for decades , billions of dollars, tens of billions of dollars have been invested in these climate models, and we find that generally speaking virtually all of the climate models produce too much warming over this period, since 1979 up to the present.</i></p> <p><i>Now even if we say that surface thermometers are correct, they still don't produce as much warming as most of the climate models say there should have been in the last fifty years.</i></p>
20:27	<p><b>STEVEN KOONIN</b>  <i>The models individually, and even collectively when you average all of them in so called ensembles, they don't get it right.</i></p>
20:35	<p><b>WILL HAPPER</b>  <i>You can already see that the main support of the climate alarm movement, these enormous computer models, they're clearly wrong. They don't agree with what we observe. They're all running much too hot. They don't get the geographical distribution of temperatures anywhere close. they don't get El Niño, La Niña cycles. They're just nonsense.</i></p>
31:03	<p><b>COMM</b>  All climate models are based on the assumption that CO2 drives temperature change.</p> <p>But actual observations and historical evidence clearly suggest that it doesn't.</p>
31;12	<p><b>JOHN CLAUSER</b>  <i>Yes, I assert that there is no connection whatsoever between CO2 and climate change. That's all a crock of crap in my opinion.</i></p>
31:23	<p><b>PATRICK MOORE</b>  <i>There is no truth to the idea that the earth is warming now than it has been in the past. It's a lie. There is no truth that CO2 is higher than it should be. That is a lie.</i></p>

31:36	<b>COMM</b> Earth's climate has changed many times over the course of its long history, and will continue to change, without any help from us.
31:44	<i>WILL HAPPER</i> <i>Climate always changes, you know? Who denies climate change – it's always changing?</i>
31:50	<b>COMM:</b> But if CO2 doesn't drive climate change, what does?
<b>Natural climate change part 1 - clouds</b>	
32:00	<b>COMM</b> In Earth's atmosphere there are powerful forces at work, and perhaps the most powerful of all are clouds.
32:09	<i>JOHN CLAUSER</i> <i>CO2 is quite unimportant in controlling the Earth's climate. What is important is clouds.</i>  <i>Clouds don't absorb any energy at all, they simply reflect all the sunlight back out into space. Big bright white clouds and they vary dramatically from one day to the next. That is hundreds of times more powerful than the trivial effects of CO2.</i>
32:42	<b>COMM</b> But what controls the number and density of clouds on earth?  Professor Henrik Svensmark, from the Danish National Space Institute is in Jerusalem, with the astrophysicist Nir Shaviv.  Together they've been exploring cloud variation and its effect on climate.  And, strangely they've found a link between clouds, and exploding supernovae, far off in our galaxy.
33:06	<i>HENRIK SVENSMARK</i> <i>When we have big stars, they don't live very long, relatively only a few million years up to 40 million years but they end their life in a huge explosion which we call a supernova.</i>

33:25	<p><b>COMM:</b></p> <p>Exploding supernova send out vast quantities of debris ... tiny charged subatomic particles known as cosmic rays ... travelling almost at the speed of light.</p> <p>And as they hit earth they develop into seeds which attract water vapour, and form clouds.</p>
33:45	<p><b>COMM</b></p> <p>Professor Shaviv noticed that the amount of cloud cover on Earth is related to our journey round the Milky Way.</p> <p>As our solar system orbits the galaxy, over millions of years, it passes through the galaxy's spiral arms - dense clusters of stars.</p>
34:01	<p>As it does, we are exposed to more or less cloud-forming cosmic rays, and this corresponds to historic temperature changes on earth.</p>
34:11	<p><i>NIR SHAVIV</i></p> <p><i>The really mind boggling thing is that using geology, You can reconstruct the climate on earth over the past billion years. And you can reconstruct our galactic journey. Both tell the same story.</i></p>
34:26	<p><b>COMM</b></p> <p>But what about temperature change on shorter time scales?</p>
34:31	<p><b>COMM</b></p> <p>The sun - our source of heat and light ... a seething mass of gigantic magnetic storms, which vary in strength and number over time, and which affect earth directly and indirectly.</p> <p>When it is very active the sun sends giant gusts of solar wind through the solar system</p>
34:54	<p><b>COMM</b></p> <p>This solar wind warms us indirectly, by acting as a barrier, limiting the number of cloud-forming cosmic rays reaching earth.</p>

35:04	<p><i>HENRIK SVENSMARK</i></p> <p><i>Sun, we have the solar wind it carries this sort of magnetic field out to a large distance and it works like a shield against cosmic rays</i></p>
35;14	<p><i>NIR SHAVIV</i></p> <p><i>When the sun is more active you have a stronger solar wind, you have less cosmic rays ageing the inner solar system and ageing the atmosphere and the clouds which are then formed are less white they reflect less of the sunlight, which means that it's going to be warmer here on Earth.</i></p>
35:34	<p><b>COMM</b></p> <p>Here is a proxy reconstruction of ocean temperatures over thousands of years.</p> <p>And here is one of solar activity over the same period.</p> <p>What is causing the ocean temperature to change is clearly variations in solar activity.</p>
35:51	<p><i>WILLIE SOON</i></p> <p><i>Because IPCC is determined to go on a narrative that only CO2 can drive the climate system, they turn off the sun essentially. The sun is just a background thing for them. It doesn't do anything.</i></p>
36:04	<p><b>COMM:</b></p> <p>Astrophysicist Willie Soon decided to look again at the rural temperature record for the past 150 years.</p> <p>Then he looked at a record of changes in solar activity over the same period.</p> <p>To Dr Soon, it was obvious that it was the sun, not CO2, that was driving temperature.</p>



36:23	<p><i>WILLIE SOON</i></p> <p><i>As off 2023, IPPC says this, that the sun has absolutely zero chances to explain the changes of the climate system, on a broad scale, lets say global warming in the northern hemisphere.</i></p> <p><i>We say no! We can easily demonstrate it. All of it. There is zero for the CO2, 100% for the sun. How about that?</i></p>
<p><b>Card: The Science - Part 4 EXTREME WEATHER</b></p>	
36:47	<p><b>COMM:</b></p> <p>Why are these and other studies never reported in the mainstream media?</p> <p>And if climate change is natural, what are to make of the alleged terrifying increase in extreme weather events?</p> <p>Of the heatwaves and hurricanes, the forest-fires, droughts and all the rest.</p>
37:05	<p><b>CARD the Science part 4: Extreme Weather</b></p>
37:07	<p><i>STEVEN KOONIN</i></p> <p><i>So my first instinct as a scientist, and what I teach my students is, well let's look at the data. And when you do that, you discover as you can read in the IPPC reports themselves that its pretty hard to find trends in extreme events much less attribute them to human influences</i></p>
37:28	<p><i>ROSS MCKITRICK</i></p> <p><i>You've now had decades of putting the idea in peoples heads that any time the weather is bad, it's climate change and greenhouse gases. I think people at this point can't help themselves.</i></p> <p><i>If there's a heatwave, immediately everyone is thinking 'oh, what have we done to the weather?'</i></p>

37:45	<p><b>STEVEN KOONIN</b>  <i>If somebody says on the news, well this is the warmest day since 1980 or something well you can look up the temperature records, and see for yourself whether it was warmer in the 1930s, as it often is.</i></p>
38:01    38:17	<p><b>COMM:</b>  U.S. temperature records are the best in the world, and here is the <i>official</i> U.S. government record of heatwaves in the U.S. over the past century.</p> <p>It shows very clearly that the <u>1930s</u> were far more prone to heatwaves than we are today.</p> <p>Not only were there <u>more</u> heatwaves in the 1930s, the heatwaves then were <u>much hotter</u> than those of today.</p> <p>Likewise official figures show that the <u>number</u> of hot days in the US has markedly declined.</p>
38:33	<p><b>TONY HELLER</b>  <i>The United States was much hotter in the 1930s. North Dakota reached 121 degrees F. South Dakota was 120 degrees F. Wisconsin was 114 degrees F.</i></p> <p><i>These sort of temperatures are just completely out of range of anything people experience now.</i></p>
38:53	<p><b>COMM</b></p> <p>A common mistake is to suppose that higher <u>average</u> temperature will mean more hot weather.</p> <p>But this isn't true.</p> <p>Here again is the Central England Temperature Record, the longest instrumental temperature record in the world. .</p> <p><u>Summer</u> temperatures, over the past 3-400 years, since the end of the Little Ice Age, have barely changed at all.</p> <p>It is <i>winter</i> temperatures that have been slightly rising.</p> <p>The earth's climate has not been getting <i>hotter</i>, it's been getting <i>milder</i>.</p>

39:21	<p><i>WILL HAPPER</i></p> <p><i>And that's certainly being observed all over the world, if you look at temperature records the high temperatures are almost unchanged. But cold temperatures at night, or during the winter, are going up a little bit. Not very much, but you can measure it.</i></p>
39:36	<p><i>STEVEN KOONIN</i></p> <p><i>When the average goes up it's really more due to the coldest temperatures getting warmer.</i></p> <p><i>So the temperature's getting milder rather than getting hotter</i></p>
39:50	<p><b>COMM:</b> What about the increasing number of wildfires we're often told about?</p>
39:54	<p><i>STEVEN KOONIN:</i> <i>If you look at the actual number of forest fires from satellite observations, the actual number is going down.</i></p>
40:02  40:09	<p><b>COMM:</b></p> <p>Here is an estimate of global wild-fires since 1900. It shows a clear decline.</p> <p>And here is a record of areas affected by wildfires in the US. It shows that wildfires were far far worse in the 1930s.</p>
40:16	<p><i>WILLIE SOON</i> <i>From the 1930s and 1920s, when you have data, the thing was huge. Five to ten times bigger than the current level.</i></p>
40:25	<p><b>COMM:</b></p> <p>How about hurricanes? The US has by far the best record of hurricane activity in the world. Over the past 120 years, there is <u>no</u> overall change. In fact the trend is slightly <u>down</u>.</p>
40:41	<p><i>KOONIN</i></p> <p><i>When you [look at the data] for hurricanes, technically tropical cyclones, you see there is no long term trend.</i></p>

40:50	<b>COMM</b> How about the <u>rest</u> of the world? Here is a chart of global hurricane activity over the past 40 years.
40:57	<b>WILL HAPPER</b> <i>Hurricanes have been around forever, you know. We've got good proxy records of hurricanes and there's been no change in their frequency, even the IPCC admits that.</i>
41:08	<b>COMM</b> How about melting ice-caps and drought?  Here's a satellite record of temperature in Antarctica since the late 1970s. It shows no increase whatsoever.
41:20	<b>COMM</b> And here is a record of global drought since 1950. There is no observable increase at all.
41:27	<b>COMM</b> Polar Bears are meant to be going extinct, but studies suggest their numbers are growing. The Great Barrier reef too, but it recently reached record levels.
41:38	<b>PATRICK MOORE</b> <i>There is no such thing as a climate emergency happening on this planet now. There is no evidence of one.</i>
41:45	<b>TONY HELLER</b> <i>Yeah the extreme weather story is just absurd. There's no basis to it at all. It's just based on propaganda. The actual data shows the opposite.</i>
41:54	<b>KOONIN</b> <i>I've shown you the official data, the official science, tell me what I'm denying.</i>
42:01	<b>WILL HAPPER</b> <i>The Climate alarm is nonsense it's a hoax, I've never liked hoax, I think scam is better word but I am willing to live with the hoax</i>

42:14	<p><b>COMM</b></p> <p><u><i>But why are we told, again and again, that man-made climate chaos is an undisputed scientific fact?</i></u></p> <p><u><i>Beyond question. Beyond doubt.</i></u></p> <p>To answer this, we must examine the so-called consensus on climate change.</p>
	<p><b>'THE CONSENSUS'</b></p> <p><b>PART 1 - FUNDING</b></p>
42:33	<p><b>COMM</b></p> <p>Until the late 1980s global warming was little more than an eccentric scare story put about by radical environmentalists. But then the cause was picked by an ambitious young senator Al Gore, who would soon be vice-president.</p>
42:46	<p><b>COMM</b></p> <p>A billion dollars a year of public money was made available for research into climate change. This quickly rose to 2 billion.</p> <p>(up-sync)</p> <p>Academic researchers in various disciplines, began to apply for this climate funding.</p>
43:02	<p><b>STEVEN KOONIN</b></p> <p><i>If you want to qualify for money that's labelled climate, you take whatever it is you're doing and add a little bit of climate speak to it, and away you go.</i></p>
43:14	<p><b>DICK LINDZEN</b></p> <p><i>You're dealing with the sexual habits of cockroaches, and you'll add the impact of climate.</i></p>
43:22	<p><b>ROSS MCKITRICK</b></p> <p><i>All I have to do is add a little wrinkle to my grant application to explain how 'well I'm worried that climate change will mean the death of all the maple trees', and right away you qualify for funding.</i></p>

43:35	<p><b>COMM</b></p> <p>Academics of every kind lined up for climate funding.</p> <p>Climate became an exciting new area of interest for sociologists, biologists, Professors of English literature, lecturers in gender studies, and many more</p>
43:49	<p><i>DICK LINDZEN</i></p> <p><i>And it also served to create a community. You've become a climate scientist now. Even though you know nothing about the physics of climate.</i></p>
44:02	<p><b>COMM</b></p> <p>Thousands of papers were published ... on climate change and prostitution, climate change and beer, climate change and the black death, climate change and disability, climate change and video games, and everything else imaginable.</p>
44:13	<p><i>ROSS MCKITRICK</i></p> <p><i>So there's an almost comical list of studies out there. Just do a google search on 'Climate Change AND..' and everything comes up</i></p>
44:23	<p><b>COMM</b></p> <p>Few of these papers ever questioned whether climate change was actually true.</p>
44:28	<p><i>STEVE KOONIN</i></p> <p><i>After you've done the research and you write the paper up, sometimes you find there's no affect at all from climate. But you still have to say in your papers, oh yes climate change is real and we just need to study this some more.</i></p>
44:42	<p><b>COMM</b></p> <p>Since so few of these so-called 'climate studies' challenged the idea of climate change, it was declared that there was a scientific consensus. Climate change <i>must</i> be true.</p>
44:54	<p><b>COMM</b></p> <p>Climate <u>also</u> became a new focus for government-funded research bodies.</p>

44:59	<p><b>JOHN CLAUSER</b>  <i>Scientific research in the United States tends to be dominantly funded by government grants. And so whatever government grants are offered sort of determines much of the science being done.</i></p>
45:14	<p><b>COMM</b></p> <p>It was during the war and Cold War that many government research bodies were set up.</p> <p>But the Cold War is over, and pressure on government spending has left many of them struggling to justify their continued funding.</p>
45:29	<p><b>ROY SPENCER</b>  <i>The United States Congress only funds problems. Research into problems. Whether it's money that goes to NASA, NOAA, or the National Science Foundation, or Department of Energy, or any other alphabet soup organisation.</i></p>
45:44	<p><b>WILL HAPPER</b>  <i>It's always been a problem to support your research, your existence, your raison d'être, and so Climate was a godsend</i></p>
45:54	<p><b>ROY SPENCER</b></p> <p><i>if Congress is willing to pay you to find evidence of global warming, by golly, as a scientist, we're gonna go find evidence of it. Because that's what we are being paid to do.</i></p> <p><i>And guess what, if you don't find evidence or say the evidence suggests it's not a problem, your funding ends.</i></p> <p><i>This totally corrupts the way we look at the science.</i></p>
46:16	<p><b>CLAUSER</b></p> <p><i>Who was the famous gangster who was asked, 'why do you rob banks?' And he responded, 'because that's where the money is!'</i></p>

46:25	<p><b>COMM</b></p> <p>The climate alarm brought funds. And the bigger the supposed threat, the more funds seemed to flow. The publicly funded science establishment now had a direct financial interest in playing up the alarm.</p>
46:38	<p><b>MATTHEW WIELICKI</b></p> <p><i>There's a huge incentive to over exaggerate or to speak in hyperbole. Even if the data doesn't support exactly what you're saying. Because that's what brings the funds.</i></p>
46:50	<p><b>MATTHEW WIELICKI</b></p> <p><i>I was in that boat. I was someone who was defending climate change as a grad student quite a bit, because the truth is, I didn't give it too much thought, but I thought well it's getting a ton of attention, it's bringing a ton of money into the Earth Sciences, even if I don't buy all the hyperbole, what's the problem?</i></p>
47:09	<p><b>COMM:</b></p> <p>By the late 1990s, what had started as an environmental scare story was gaining momentum.</p>
47:17	<p><b>CARD: THE CLIMATE BANDWAGON</b></p>
47:21	<p><b>COMM:</b></p> <p>Western governments and their senior civil servants were more than willing to address the climate problem.</p> <p>Green taxes were levied, green regulation expanded, and this, in turn, generated more climate-related jobs and activity.</p>
47:37	<p><b>ROSS MCKITRICK</b></p> <p><i>Take the banking sector for instance. Say to a banker, we want you to file reports to the regulatory commission on how climate change is going to affect your bank. Well a banker doesn't know anything about the subject, so then they have to commission studies from academics, and of course the academics are happy to come and tell them 'it's gonna be terrible for your bank, it's gonna cause all kinds of problems, you need to give us money to research this.'</i></p>



48:02	<p><b>COMM:</b> Green subsidies and regulation meant there was now money to be made in climate. Renewables firms sprouted, Consultancy firms offered advice on what they called sustainability and climate compliance.</p>
48:15	<p><i>STEVEN KOONIN</i> <i>It's a wonderful business opportunity. You want climate? We'll give you climate.</i></p>
48:22	<p><b>COMM</b> The renewables industry alone now turns over a trillion dollars a year and that's expected to double in the next few years.</p>
48:29	<p><i>JOHN CLAUSER</i> <i>What used to be a cottage industry has now blossomed to become a major part of the world economy.</i></p>
48:38	<p><b>COMM</b> The growth of this climate industry has seen an explosion of highly-paid green jobs ... chief sustainability officers, carbon offset advisers, ESG consultants, climate compliance lawyers and countless others.</p>
48:53	<p><i>MATTHEW WIELICKI</i> <i>Students started to come into our departments, our earth science departments, with a focus on climate. That never happened before.</i></p> <p><i>But they started to look at their career prospects, and they're smart, and they're looking at who's hiring, and the fact of the matter is everything in the hiring pool had climate somewhere attached to the name.</i></p>
49:14	<p><i>ROSS MCKITRICK</i> <i>I started a few years ago seeing programmes like a masters degree in climate finance. And I just went what on earth is climate finance? I can understand what a masters degree in finance is.</i></p> <p><i>Well now you need a university that's gonna teach this programme you need 'professors' of climate finance.</i></p>

49:32	<p><b>BENNY PEISER</b></p> <p><i>Every single school or university or business will have a climate officer or climate officers and a climate programme. You look at any of these institutions or businesses and you'll find they are all signed up to it. And anyone who hasn't signed up will come under pressure.</i></p>
49:53	<p><b>COMM</b></p> <p>At the last gathering of the publicly-funded UN's IPCC, 70,000 delegates flew in from around the world.</p> <p>Government bureaucrats, Green NGOs, carbon sequestration consultants, environmental journalists, heads of renewables companies.</p> <p>And yet the 70,000 people here are just the tip of a climate industry iceberg.</p>
50:17	<p><b>ROSS MCKITRICK</b></p> <p><i>You start building this enormous population, whose job is to manage the crisis. And also, explicitly, to make sure people are alarmed about the crisis, because the whole industry depends on the existence of the crisis.</i></p>
50:38	<p><b>COMM</b></p> <p>But therein lies the one great threat to this multi-trillion dollar industry.</p> <p>All of the jobs, all of the funding are totally dependent on there <b>being</b> a climate crisis.</p>
50:50	<p><b>MATTHEW WIELICKI</b></p> <p><i>If CO2 isn't having the huge negative impacts that we claimed it was having originally, how're we going to stay in business? How do we justify our existence if climate change isn't this existential threat that we claimed it was over the last four decades or so?</i></p>
51:06	<p><b>ROY SPENCER</b></p> <p><i>People like me, our careers depend on funding of climate research. This is what I've been doing just about my whole career, this is what the other climate researchers have been doing with their whole careers. They don't want this to end.</i></p>

51:19	<p><b>TONY HELLER</b>  <i>If NASA said global warming is not a problem, then their funding disappears, right? So they can't say that.</i></p> <p><i>I mean, you've got the United Nations Intergovernmental Panel of Climate Change. If they said the climate isn't changing, they'd have no reason to exist.</i></p>
51:37	<p><b>MATTHEW WIELICKI</b>  <i>The IPPC has a self-preservation instinct to show that climate change is an existential threat, otherwise there is no reason for them to be collecting the money and doing the work in the first place.</i></p>
51:49	<p><b>JOHN CLAUSER</b>  <i>I mean there are not now just billions, but trillions of dollars at stake.</i></p>
51:55	<p><b>TONY HELLER</b>  <i>There's a huge amount of money involved. This is a huge big money scam. A lot of people's livelihoods depend on it. They're not gonna give that up.</i></p>
52:04	<p><b>STEVEN KOONIN</b>  <i>If suddenly the notion becomes apparent that this is not such a problem, you're gonna see that as an existential threat.</i></p>
52:15	<p><b>COMM:</b>  Scientists who studied the natural causes of climate change began to be viewed with suspicion, as two Harvard astrophysicists discovered.</p>
52:23	<p><b>WILLIE SOON</b>  <i>How much does the sun change? How does it change? Why does it change? We didn't even want to get into the temperature record. But immediately they would come after us, because when we started to estimate that the sun changed by quite, significantly in terms of climate, immediately the attack is there, because it's not following the narrative. Because they need CO2 to be the only one, the only dominant player.</i></p>

52:51	<p><b>SALLIE BALIUNAS</b>  <i>When you tried to say, we were just looking for the background of natural variability, the response would be ‘we can’t have natural changes as an effect. It has to be human caused.’</i></p> <p><i>Some of that was directly stated, but most of it was indirect. Your funding for this kind of project will be dropped. This kind of project doesn’t go anywhere.</i></p>
53:18	<p><b>RICHARD LINDZEN</b></p> <p><i>By that time, anything that contradicted the narrative of global warming as a serious problem was not going to get funded.</i></p>
53:31	<p><b>COMM</b>  Editors of academic journals came under pressure not to accept papers which were deemed to be skeptical of the climate crisis.</p>
53:39	<p><b>RICHARD LINDZEN</b>  <i>We will not publish anything that questions this. It’s not something surreptitious.</i></p>
53:47	<p><b>COMM</b>  Scientists who dared to point out in public that there was no climate chaos, began to be sidelined and shunned.</p>
53:54	<p><b>ROSS MCKITRICK</b>  <i>If a scientifically qualified person stands up and says, ‘we don’t see an upward trend in the data on pacific typhoons’, suddenly they lose standing to address the topic of pacific typhoons. Not because what they said was wrong, but because it’s off message.</i></p>
53:11	<p><b>ROSS MCKITRICK</b>  <i>They can marginalise any kind of criticism of the narrative by saying ‘you’re not qualified to talk about this, because you don’t support the narrative.’ And then having marginalised everyone who doesn’t support the narrative, they can then turn around and say ‘well, everyone who counts supports the narrative so it must be right.’</i></p>

54:31	<p><b>COMM</b> Environmental journalists ignored skeptics and instead offered headlines to anyone prepared to make <i>the most outrageous</i> claims and predictions about a <i>climate apocalypse</i>.</p>
54:42	<p><i>ROY SPENCER</i> <i>It's gotten to where its got nothing to do with the science anymore. It doesn't matter if your alarmist prediction doesn't come true you're still going to retain your status as an expert and the media is still going to come and ask for your opinion even though you were crazy wrong about you're predictions.</i></p>
55:05	<p><b>COMM:</b> But the consensus on climate is not only enforced by those in the climate industry.</p> <p>To explain the broader appeal of the climate alarm, we must look at the <i>politics</i> behind climate.</p>
55:19	<p><b>CARD: "The Politics of Climate"</b></p>
55:25	<p><b>COMM:</b> From the start, the climate scare was political. It came the environmental movement ... the sworn enemy of free-market industrial capitalism.</p>
55:37	<p><i>BENNY PEISER</i> <i>Finally we've got them. We can claim that it is the free markets that are destroying the planet... We need big government to save us.</i></p>
55:48	<p><b>COMM</b> The climate problem, it is said, stems from the irresponsible actions of greedy, feckless individuals, who have too many babies and drive too much and consume too many products. And of the capitalist corporations who pander to their whims.</p> <p>The solution is for government to have greater power to regulate private companies, but also to guide and reshape the lives and habits of individuals.</p>

56:12	<p><b>ROSS MCKITRICK</b>  <i>Policy agenda has sprawled into micromanaging everybody's lives on the most minute detail. What kind of stove you can use, what kind of heater you can have, how much you can set the thermostat at, where you can drive, what kind of car; according to the planners we're not going to have internal combustion engines an hour from now;</i></p>
56:32	<p><b>ROY SPENCER</b>  <i>All of these things require the government to get involved, because the government has to sort of force changes upon the public. If it was up to the public, we wouldn't be buying electric vehicles because they're impractical.</i></p>
56:46	<p><b>COMM</b></p> <p>Support for the climate alarm has been virtually synonymous with disdain for free-market capitalism, and a craving for bigger government.</p>
56:54	<p><b>ROY SPENCER</b>  <i>It's basically liberals versus conservatives in the United States. Generally speaking, liberals are concerned that we're destroying the planet, and they're also for big government. Conservatives are at the other end of the spectrum, they don't believe we're destroying the planet, and they don't want the government involved in their personal lives.</i></p>
57:15	<p><b>COMM</b></p> <p>Paying lipservice to the climate alarm has become almost universal among those who depend on government for their livelihoods.</p> <p>This includes those in the publicly-funded education, arts and science establishments.</p> <p>Tony Heller recalls his time at Los Alamos labs.</p>
57:32	<p><b>TONY HELLER</b>  <i>The entire county of Los Alamos was kept going by government money. We had the highest incomes in the state. So naturally the who people lived in Los Alamos supported big government because that is where their livelihood came from. That is where their good schools came from. Everything good in Los Alamos came from the government, so of course they were all big believers in big government.</i></p>

58:00	<p><b>COMM</b></p> <p>Among the largely publicly-funded Western intelligentsia, support for more government spending and regulation, is almost a defining moral badge.</p> <p>In these circles, to question the climate alarm is socially unacceptable. To be a climate skeptic is taboo.</p>
58:19	<p><i>MATTHEW WIELICKI</i></p> <p><i>Somebody that goes against it really does get met with a lot of anger and vitriol... you're called a denier a science denier.a heretic</i></p>
58:30	<p><i>STEVEN KOONIN</i></p> <p><i>Your colleagues won't engage with you. You don't get invited to conferences. Your students may desert you. This is all really terrible.</i></p>
58:44	<p><b>COMM:</b></p> <p>Professors Henrik Svensmark and Nir Shaviv describe what happened when they published their results on the climatic effects of solar activity.</p>
58:52	<p><i>HENRIK SVENSMARK</i></p> <p><i>it was like all hell had broken loose because of this work. I had no idea things would escalate as it did. It completely changed my life.</i></p>
59:04	<p><i>NIR SHAVIV</i></p> <p><i>Once we said that, people didn't like hearing it. We became persona non grata.</i></p>
59:13	<p><i>HENRIK SVENSMARK</i></p> <p><i>I mean I have so many instances of people doing really nasty things. When I applied for a job, a group of scientists wrote to the university and saying they shouldn't hire me. And that is a typical story, unfortunately.</i></p>
59:36	<p><i>NIR SHAVIV</i></p> <p><i>If you don't agree with the standard polemic, you become an outcast. You're shunned. As if you have leprosy.</i></p>
59:47	<p><b>COMM</b></p> <p>For Professor Sallie Baliunas, the personal attacks became too much.</p>

59:54	<p><b>SALLIE BALIUNAS</b>  <i>I retired early. And my family said I should've retired even sooner, years sooner, they noticed the toll it took on them, and me.</i></p>
01:00:12	<p><b>COMM</b>  Dr Matthew Wielicki was an assistant professor of Geology at the University of Alabama, when he decided to speak out about the climate scare ... As a result of the backlash he has decided to leave teaching.</p>
01:00:26	<p><b>MATHEW WIELICKI</b>  <i>To speak up about climate change in any type of sceptical way was essentially career suicide. There was no way that I would publish in any of the mainstream journals that I was required to publish in. I essentially isolated myself from many of the funding institutions.  This is one of the reasons you can build a consensus in a community, because anyone who is sceptical of the consensus essentially gets kicked out of the community.</i></p>
01:00:52	<p><b>STEVEN KOONIN</b>  <i>Speaking out in scientific ways that go contrary to the consensus I would say is a career killer for people at the early stages of their career</i></p>
01:01:09	<p><b>WILL HAPPER</b>  <i>If I was 30 years old in a university trying to make a career, I would certainly keep my mouth shut. And, in fact, I went to some effort to keep my mouth shut when I was younger. I knew climate was nonsense then, but I was a little bit careful.</i></p>
01:01:24	<p><b>DICK LINDZEN</b>  <i>If a young person is questioning this, they can't put it in a proposal. The proposal will be denied. And they can't effectively publish because the gatekeeper will keep them out. And so, it would end their career.</i></p>
01:01:43	<p><b>TONY HELLER</b>  <i>You have to go along with the global warming story. If you don't you're gonna get cut off, you're gonna lose funding, you're gonna have your career ruined, you're gonna be trashed by the community, you're gonna be despised by your co-workers.</i></p>



01: 01:59	<b>COMM</b> The so-called consensus on climate has itself become a weapon, a form of bullying, intimidation and censorship, used against those who refuse to conform.
01:02:08	<i>MATTHEW WIELICKI</i> <i>[the consensus] It's a tool people use to bludgeon their opponents, and the sceptics, and to attack their character.</i>
01:02:17	<b>COMM</b> According to its critics, far from being scientific, the militant, intolerant climate consensus represents a devastating assault on free scientific enquiry.
01:02:26	<i>STEVEN KOONIN</i> <i>I see my job as a scientist as just laying out the facts, and letting people decide what they wanna do. When you can't talk about the facts, things become corrupt.</i>
01:02:40	<i>SALLIE BALIUNAS</i> <i>If you shut the door on ideas in science... If you say, you're not allowed to test it, you're not allowed to have that idea, you've left the realm of science.</i>
01:02:52	<i>ROY SPENCER</i> <i>I don't think climate researchers will ever back down from their claim that increasing CO2 is the control knob on today's climate system. I don't think they will ever back down from that no matter what the evidence is.</i>
01:03:09	<i>RICHARD LINDZEN</i> <i>It's clear it's now a cult, completely divorced from science.</i>
01:03:18	<b>COMM</b> The apparently unstoppable climate scare does not just represent an attack on science...it is starting to shape for us a new kind of society
01:03:29	<b>CARD: CLIMATE versus FREEDOM</b>

01:03:34	<p><b>COMM</b></p> <p>Environmentalists like to pose as anti-establishment, but their demands are well- received and piously echoed by King Charles and the archbishop of Canterbury, the BBC, the UN, the EU, by heads of government, the World Bank and World Economic Forum</p> <p>In fact by the entire, state-funded ruling establishment.</p>
01:03:56	<p><b>ROY SPENCER</b></p> <p>Global warming is like the perfect problem that the government can get involved in. To grow the influence of government</p>
01:04:05	<p><i><b>WILL HAPPER</b></i></p> <p><i>It's a wonderful way to increase government power. If there's an existential threat out there, that's worldwide, well you need a powerful world wide government to cope with it.</i></p>
01:04:20	<p><b>CLAIRE FOX</b></p> <p>If you are a climate activist you are actually facilitating a Huge validation of the government running our lives.</p>
01:04:29	<p><b>AUSTIN WILLIAMS</b></p> <p><i>Many Environmentalists most environmentalists , all environmentalists who consider themselves to be radical progressives alternatives are in fact simply reinforcing the mantras and the mainstream arguments of the entire establishment.</i></p>
01:04:41	<p><b>CLAIRE FOX</b></p> <p><i>The demands on the government mean that the government suddenly gains the authority to interfere into every nook and cranny of our lives and how we live.</i></p>
	<p><b>MATTHEW WIELICKI</b></p> <p><i>everything has a climate narrative attached to it. How much you consume, where you spend your money, how much you travel, who you interact with, what types of food you eat, whether you eat meat. Everything has some kind of aspect to it that can be controlled with a climate lens.</i></p>

01:05:08	<p><b>ROSS MCKITRICK</b>  <i>Suppose 20 years ago, somebody hatched the idea that 'I'd really like to ban cheap energy, I'd really like to control everyone's appliance purchases, I'd really like to tell everyone where they can go, basically I'd really like dictatorial control over everything. Well it's not gonna fly. Everyone would think you're a nut and ignore you. But fast forward 20 years and that's what's happening.</i></p>
01:5:36	<p><b>COMM</b>  The publicly-funded establishment in the West, is so large and powerful, it is able to enforce and impose the official-consensus on climate, through its control of schools, universities, government and much of the media.</p>
01:5:52	<p><b>COMM</b>  State-broadcasters like the BBC exclude climate skeptics, broadcasting regulatory bodies forbid private stations from disseminating sceptical views, threatening them with having their broadcasting licences revoked.</p>
01:06:08	<p><b>BENNY PEISER</b>  <i>What normally happens in an emergency is that all normal forms of openness and democracy have to be suppressed. Because how else to deal with an emergency, so we are facing a situation not unlike lockdown when basically all normal forms of behaviour, normal forms of social communication, normal forms of democracy are essentially ruled out.</i></p>
01:06:37	<p><b>BENNY PEISER</b>  <i>Activists are even calling for any scepticism to be criminalised.</i></p>
01:06:44	<p><b>COMM</b>  <i>In certain jobs and professions it's now dangerous to express dissent on climate.</i></p>
01:06:49	<p><b>BENNY PEISER</b>  <i>(add lead into this) am always surprised that people are more skeptical will think twice before voicing their concerns because they might risk their career they might risk their business, they might risk being sacked.</i></p>
01:07:04	<p><b>PATRICK MOORE</b>  <i>If your a professional of any kind in science or law or medicine and if belong to a professional association or are in a university, you can be fired for saying what you believe.</i></p>

01:07:17	<p><b>BENNY PEISER</b>  <i>The consequence is a censorious authoritarian regime that has to control every move, every word, everything you want to do cos everything you do, is a potential risk to the survival of mankind.</i></p>
01:07:36	<p><b>CARD: Climate versus the People</b></p>
01:07:42	<p><b>COMM</b>  Climate protestors condemn capitalism.</p> <p>But at their anti-capitalist rallies it is hard to spot anyone who looks like a worker - like a docker, or crane-diver, or steel-worker, or a beautician or a trucker.</p> <p>The workers, it appears, are totally absent from these rallies, and for very good reason.</p> <p>Today's climate alarmists complain not that capitalism isn't producing enough, but that it's producing <i>too much</i>.</p>
01:08:10	<p><b>CLAIRE FOX</b>  <i>The modern capitalist system has led to prosperity, more and more people have more and more things. The modern anti capitalism of the present time is a Critique of capitalism is that it's gives us too much...</i></p>
01:08:22	<p><b>STEPHEN DAVIES</b>  <i>They think the problem with capitalism now is actually that it gives out too many rewards, en masse, to ordinary workers. What they want instead, and this is often very explicit actually, is a much more austere, simple lifestyle, in which the mass consumption, the consumption choices of the great bulk of the population are controlled or even prohibited.</i></p>
01:08:47	<p><b>BENNY PEISER</b>  <i>You have to consume less, you have to holiday less, you have to drive less and to eat less and so on...</i></p>
01:08:55	<p><b>COMM</b></p> <p>It seems that what upsets many environmentalists is not the failure but rather the success of capitalism, in producing an abundance of affordable goods for the masses.</p>

01:09:07	<p><b>CLARE FOX</b>  <i>Ordinary working people for once we have arrived at a point in history ,in the western world at least, where mass manufacturing has allowed them cheap clothes, cheap food, cheap furniture therefore you get a clash when affluent environmentalists express their disdain for mass consumption. People going on those big huge cruise ships, its like thousands ‘what are they doing ?? oh my God ! ruining Venice, ruining all our beautiful places, we own them don’t we ? what are they going there for</i></p>
01:09:43	<p><b>STEPHEN DAVIES</b>  <i>What you have here is a classic example of class hypocrisy – and self interest masquerading as public spirited concern. You could take these sort of green socialists much more seriously if they lived off grid, they cut their own consumption down to the minimum ,never flew, instead what you get is constant talk about how human consumption is despite their values. But the people making up this talk ,show absolutely no signs of reducing their own</i></p>
01:10:12	<p><b>COMM</b>  What environmentalists call ‘de-growth’ is being achieved by the trashing of our conventional energy and transport systems, and the forced introduction of expensive and unreliable alternatives.</p> <p>Already this is having the desired effect on industrial manufacturing, which is straining under the burden of punitive green taxes and regulation, and higher energy prices.</p>
01:10:35	<p><b>TOM NELSON</b>  <i>The people behind the climate alarm couldn’t give a damn about manufacturing. They have nothing to do with it, they don’t know people who work in manufacturing, whose jobs and lives depend on it.. They’re not excited by industry or industrial progress. They explicitly want to shut it down.</i></p>
01:10:50	<p><b>CARD: CLIMATE VERSUS THE POOR</b></p>
01:10:56	<p><b>COMM</b>  Kisii, Kenya, East Africa.</p> <p>According to many leading environmentalists, the world’s poorest people should not aspire to the lifestyle of people in the First World.</p> <p><i><u>The planet will not cope.</u></i></p>

01:11:11	<p><b>COMM</b></p> <p>Grace Nyakenanda is one of the many Africans who do not have electricity or gas, to cook with or heat their homes.</p> <p>The resulting indoor smoke, from burning wood and dried dung, is the deadliest form of pollution in the world, for millions the cause of lung disease, blindness and early death.</p>
01:11:32	<p><i>KENYAN WOMAN</i></p> <p><i>If I continue like this, I'll go blind. And my children are still young. Who is going to feed them?</i></p> <p><i>I worry about it. But what can I do?</i></p>
01:11:49	<p><b>COMM</b></p> <p>It's not just cheap, reliable electricity that Africa needs.</p> <p>Agricultural productivity here is incredibly low. Increasing it takes fossil fuels, to make fertiliser and drive tractors and other farm machinery.</p> <p>Jusper Machogu is a farmer.</p>
01:12:06	<p><i>JUSPER MACHOGU</i></p> <p><i>Each and every African wants to get along, and increasing improving agriculture is one of the easiest ways to do that.</i></p> <p><i>Agriculture is closely tied to fossil fuels, fossil fuels which the western nations say we should not have access to.</i></p>
01:12:26	<p><b>COMM</b></p> <p>Around a third of the food produced in Africa rots before it ever reaches the mouths of consumers. To prevent this tragic waste, Africa needs plastic packaging, refrigerated lorries and good roads. All are opposed by Western environmentalists, all come with industrial development. All rely on affordable fossil fuel energy.</p>

01:12:48	<p><b>COMM</b> Diarrhoea from drinking dirty water still kills hundreds of thousands of African children.</p> <p>But clean water requires large industrial water purification plants and a modern water supply network. And this will come only with cheap energy.</p>
01:13:04	<p><i>JUSPER MACHOGU</i></p> <p><i>I think it's pretty obvious that the West has what it has because of fossil fuels</i></p> <p><i>When people say Africa doesn't need fossil fuels, I wonder. I don't think they want what's best for us.</i></p> <p><i>They don't want us to develop. That means we continue being starving, we continue being poor.</i></p>
01:13:26	<p><i>JUSPER MACHOGU</i> <i>Most people don't know what climate change is. They don't care. They just want food on their table. They want to beat poverty. They want to beat hunger. They need money to better their lives. They want to flourish. That's just it.</i></p> <p><i>AUSTIN WILLIAMS</i></p> <p><i>When they use the words sustainable development, they're talking about no development, exactly, the point is that to develop sustainably means not to use too much energy, not to use too much carbon, you know net zero the idea that you shouldn't use too many resources the fact that you shouldn't produce enough consumer goods because consumption is bad, so ultimately the idea of development is out the window.</i></p>
01:13:41	<p><i>BENNY PEISER</i></p> <p><i>The Greens think the Africans should never use their resources the way the Europeans, or the Americans, or the Canadians, or the Australians have used theirs.</i></p> <p><i>They are also in favour of punitive taxes border taxes on any African country that wants to export their goods to Europe if they do use their resources.</i></p> <p><i>So that sums up the ethical ruthlessness and depravity of the green agenda.</i></p>

01:14:39	<p><b>COMM</b></p> <p>But climate alarmists have a problem. Many countries in Africa and across Asia, are simply ignoring the environmentalist demands of Western governments and international agencies. Communist China is estimated to be building an average of two new coal power plants a week. China now uses more coal than the rest of the world combined.</p>
01:15:02	<p><b>BENNY PEISER</b></p> <p><i>Which is one of the reasons that this whole climate agenda is falling apart... because the rest of the world is not cutting emissions, is not moving towards renewables</i></p>
01:15:15	<p><b>COMM</b></p> <p>In the West too, for many people, climate alarmism is wearing thin.</p>
01:15;19	<p><b>TOM NELSON</b></p> <p><i>Ordinary people are not stupid. They've seen one ridiculous claim after another fail. Over and over. What this does is leave people with a profound and justified cynicism about what the scientific establishment says. And about what the government says</i></p>
01:15:37	<p><b>COMM</b></p> <p>To fix the climate problem we're told, we must give up our cars, pay more for fuel, heating, clothes, food, fly less, limit where we go.</p> <p>Its hardly surprising that this attack on mass travel, mass tourism, mass consumption, holds little appeal to the masses.</p>
01:15:59	<p><b>CLAIRE FOX</b></p> <p><i>Ordinary people starting to realise its going to cost them a lot of money to simply live the lives they were leading and as soon as that started to happen, I could see people in the United Kingdom, who had previously been indifferent to environmentalism, suddenly think how dare they do that' how dare they try and take away what we consider not to be luxuries but necessities</i></p>
	<p><b>AUSTIN WILLIAMS</b></p> <p><i>The whole policy of sustainability is about restraint – its about restrictions about doing less and that obviously for most people is an anathema to their everyday needs.</i></p>



01:16:34	<p><b>CLAIRE FOX</b>  <i>The fact that there is actually an ideological movement of people who think that cheap mass production, whether its houses or anything else is a problem... I mean for God's sake, no wonder people become disdainful of the kind of middle class outlook of environmentalism, but that is literally what people say. How can we stop people buying cheap things in shops.</i></p>
01:17:03	<p><b>COMM</b></p> <p>When climate protesters climbed onto an underground train in London's East End, they were not cheered on by working commuters.</p> <p>They were hurled abuse, pelted, angrily dragged off the train and received rough treatment on the platform.</p>
01:17;18	<p><b>STEPHEN DAVIES</b></p> <p><i>If you were to go into a pub frequently mainly by what the Americans call blue collar workers, you will find that being sceptical about climate change policy is not going to get you thrown out. Quite the contrary, someone will probably buy you a drink. They can tell that behind all the talk about climate emergency, climate crisis, what there actually is, is an animus and hostility towards them, their lifestyle, their beliefs and a desire to change it by force if necessary.</i></p>
01:17:53	<p><b>COMM</b></p> <p>Punitive and restrictive policies carried out both in the name of Climate change and Covid, have sparked protests, in Britain, Canada and other Western countries.</p> <p>Anti-establishment politicians and movements are gaining support.</p>
01:18:11	<p><b>CLAIRE FOX</b>  <i>What they underestimated was the fury with ordinary people who say you cant do this ! and you suddenly get this new movement</i></p>

01:18:23

**COMM**

*Many working people are not merely skeptical but positively angry about the climate alarm, and all that flows from it.*

*There is a suspicion, or perhaps realisation, that climate change is an invented scare, driven by self-interest and snobbery, cynically promoted by a parasitic, publicly-funded establishment, hungry for ever more money and power*

*An assault on the freedom and prosperity of the rest of us.*