

## MODELING CORRECTIONS OF BOMB-PULSE RADIOCARBON DATING IN FORENSIC CASES

Árný E Sveinbjörnsdóttir<sup>1</sup> • Jesper Olsen<sup>2</sup>  • Jan Heinemeier<sup>2\*</sup> 

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Earth Sciences, University of Iceland, Iceland

<sup>2</sup>Aarhus AMS Centre (AARAMS), Department of Physics and Astronomy, Aarhus University, Denmark

**ABSTRACT.** In two forensic cases, radiocarbon (<sup>14</sup>C) bomb-pulse datings of human bones have been performed and analyzed using detailed models to correct for collagen-carbon turnover rates and reservoir effects. The modeled corrections are discussed and the resulting <sup>14</sup>C ages compared to later information on actual time of birth and death of the individuals. Simple time lag corrections of bone dates are found to be inadequate, whereas modeling based on age dependent turnover rates and bomb-pulse levels through life combined with substantial reservoir age corrections can explain the observed <sup>14</sup>C results.

**KEYWORDS:** bomb-pulse dating, bone, <sup>14</sup>C, collagen carbon turnover, diet, forensic, isotope.

### INTRODUCTION

Due to the half-life of radiocarbon (<sup>14</sup>C, 5730 yr) and industrial fossil fuel and other effects in the atmosphere, traditional <sup>14</sup>C dating is mainly applicable to samples older than ca. 300 yr. For younger samples it has been demonstrated that bomb-pulse <sup>14</sup>C dating can give reliable and accurate results, especially for samples with rapid turnover (Wild et al. 2000). Such dating has proven helpful to estimate time of death and birth of unidentified humans in missing persons' forensic cases (Johnstone-Bedford and Blau 2020).

Prior to the nuclear bomb testing in the 1950s and early 1960s the global atmospheric <sup>14</sup>C level was relatively stable. However, during the bomb testing the <sup>14</sup>C level in the atmosphere increased dramatically. Since the test ban treaty in 1963, the atmospheric <sup>14</sup>C concentration has been dropping exponentially due to mixing with terrestrial and marine carbon reservoirs and has now almost reached the relatively stable <sup>14</sup>C level prior to the testing. This artificial <sup>14</sup>C bomb pulse is captured in organic material through the food chain and can thus be utilized as a calibration curve for <sup>14</sup>C dating in modern forensic cases.

<sup>14</sup>C dating has however to rely on modeled corrections due to slow collagen carbon turnover and possible marine reservoir effects. Thus, the interpretation of the <sup>14</sup>C results can only reflect the true age if the aforementioned substantial corrections are reliable. Here, the age of the individual at death and the type of tissues sampled are important factors.

The turnover rate refers to the fact that only a fraction of the bone collagen is renewed, and bone collagen therefore reflects an individual's diet over a long period, which can be substantially more than 10 yr (Hedges et al. 2007). The turnover rate varies between sexes and with age and different bone types. Studies have found that turnover is faster in females than males and varies substantially between adolescence and maturity (see e.g., Hedges et al. 2007). Thus, turnover rate corrections must reflect both lifetime integrated turnover rate and the bomb pulse shape, and thus depend on time of birth and age at death. According to

\*Corresponding author. Email: [jh@phys.au.dk](mailto:jh@phys.au.dk)




Figure 1 Photos of the human bones discussed in the paper. Panel A shows the humeral bone discovered in fishermen's net off the Southwest coast of Iceland (AAR-26566). Panel B shows the skull found in the southwest lowlands, Iceland (AAR-30392).

Hedges et al. (2007), a 35-yr-old individual with a 4% annual turnover rate will contain >50% contribution of collagen from the time of greatest growth i.e., from the teenage years. Type of bone is also important as total turnover varies considerably between bone types and texture (Ubelaker et al. 2022). For cortical bone material, such as the main component of femoral, the turnover is considered to be as long as 30 yr, whereas for mainly trabecular bone, such as rib and the inner part of femoral, the collagen turnover is much faster, of the order of 4 yr (Yaemsiri et al. 2010).

As pointed out by Wild et al. (2000), the best results when determining time of death in forensic cases are obtained by selecting material with a high turnover rate. In many cases, however, collagen from a single bone or bone fragment is the only material available and thus it is of utmost importance to develop models that describe the time dependence of collagen turnover in human bones. Often, a simple approach of correcting for a death-age dependent time lag is used (e.g., Ubelaker et al. 2015, 2022). However, we find the approach of Hedges et al. (2007) more useful and reliable, as it incorporates the important factor of the time of birth in a detailed modeling of the  $^{14}\text{C}$  content remaining in the bone collagen of a person who has lived through parts of the atmospheric bomb pulse (see discussion for details). In the present paper, we thus use this approach to analyze and interpret our results. Thus, for details of the model and the actual parameters we use, see Hedges et al. (2007).

In 2017 and 2019 the Icelandic police approached us with the request of  $^{14}\text{C}$  dating an unidentified human bone in order to determine whether it was recent and of forensic relevance. However, after our analyses and reports to the police, DNA analyses were conducted from which the police were able to identify both individuals. Accordingly, we now know their time of birth as well as their death dates (or at least disappearance dates). The purpose of this paper is to critically assess our initial findings on the time of death, and to investigate if collagen turnover modeling of the  $^{14}\text{C}$  concentration in bones could explain the discrepancy between the actual time of death and our reported time of death in our initial report.

## THE FORENSIC CASES

Case 1 (AAR-26566) involves a bone that was discovered in 2017 in a fisherman's net in the seas, just off the southwest coast of Iceland. The bone was long and grayish-white with no soft tissue. A forensic pathologist identified it as a humeral bone, probably the left humerus from a relatively large body structure, most likely a male. It was very well preserved and cortical material was sampled at the distal end close to the knee joint as shown in Figure 1A.

After submission of our results, the person was later identified by DNA analysis as a male born April 13, 1974, and disappeared December 26, 2015, the most likely time of death.

Case 2 (AAR-30392) involves a human cranium found in 1995 in South Iceland, about 50 km from Reykjavík, the capital of Iceland. It was very well preserved with no soft tissue, and cortical material was sampled at the lower back (Figure 1B). After submission of our results, the cranium was later identified by DNA analysis to belong to a male born in 1940 who disappeared December 24, 1987, the most likely time of death.

## METHODS

Samples obtained from the cranium and left humerus were pretreated following a modified Longin procedure with ultrafiltration (Longin 1971; Brown et al. 1988; Brock et al. 2013). The bone samples were demineralized in hydrochloric acid (HCl) at 4°C for several days. The acid was renewed regularly until the mineral fraction was removed completely. Humic substances were removed using 0.2M sodium hydroxide (NaOH) at 20°C. The sodium hydroxide was replaced every 20 minutes until the solution stayed clear. The samples were subsequently rinsed with 0.01M HCl and gelatinized in 0.01M HCl at 58°C overnight. The extracted “collagen” was ultra-filtered in pre-cleaned Amicon Ultra Centrifugal Filters (Ultracel – 30K). The >30kDa fractions were weighed after ultrafiltration and freeze-drying and used for  $^{14}\text{C}$  analysis.

The collagen was converted to  $\text{CO}_2$  by combustion in sealed evacuated quartz tubes with 200 mg CuO. The  $\text{CO}_2$  was reduced to graphite by the  $\text{H}_2$  reduction method using an iron catalyst and  $\text{MgClO}_4$  to remove the water (Vogel et al. 1984; Santos et al. 2007). The samples were  $^{14}\text{C}$  dated using the HVE 1MV tandemron accelerator AMS system at the Aarhus AMS Centre (AARAMS) (Olsen et al. 2017).  $^{14}\text{C}$  dates are reported as uncalibrated  $F^{14}\text{C}$  values (Reimer et al. 2004) and are normalized to  $\delta^{13}\text{C} -25\text{‰}$  according to international convention using the online  $^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$  ratios (Stuiver and Polach 1977).

Stable isotopes  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  were measured using a continuous-flow IsoPrime IRMS coupled to an Elementar PyroCube elemental analyzer at AARAMS, Aarhus University, Denmark. An in-house standard Gel-A was used as primary standard yielding  $\pm 0.2\text{‰}$  and  $\pm 0.3\text{‰}$  precision for carbon and nitrogen analysis respectively. Further, secondary in-house and international standard were used to check the normalization to the VPDB and AIR scale.

The  $F^{14}\text{C}$  values are calibrated with the Northern Hemisphere bomb calibration curve (Bomb21NH1\_2021; Hua et al. 2022) using OxCal 4.4 (Bronk Ramsey et al. 2010). Calculations and modeling are performed using MatLab 2020 version 9.8.0.145134.

## MODELING THE $^{14}\text{C}$ CONTENT OF BONE COLLAGEN AS A FUNCTION OF AGE

The  $^{14}\text{C}$  concentration of collagen in human bones is controlled by the  $^{14}\text{C}$  concentration in the consumed diet and the carbon exchange rate between bone collagen and the consumed diet, i.e., the collagen carbon turnover rate.

Firstly, to model the  $^{14}\text{C}$  concentration of bone collagen over the time span of an individual it is necessary to estimate the bone-collagen carbon turnover rate ( $f$ ) as a function of age. We use the approach as well as the modeled collagen turnover rates of Hedges et al. (2007) (Figure 2B). This model is derived from a modeled turnover template defined by four (decreasing) turnover




Figure 2 Panel A: The Northern Hemisphere bomb calibration curve (Bomb21NH1\_2021; Hua et al. 2022). In addition, the calculated terrestrial diet curve is shown together with examples of mixed marine diet curves in shades of blue. The marine curve is constructed using data from the north Icelandic shelf (Wanamaker et al. 2012). Panel B: Bone collagen turnover rates  $f$  taken from Table 2 in Hedges et al. (2007). (Please see online version for color figures.)

rate values at four time points, two of which are fixed, and two of which can vary: At age 0 (fixed, age of birth), at age between 10 and 20 (adolescence), at age between 19–30 (cessation of growth), and at age of 100 (fixed, age by which all subjects are dead). There are therefore six adjustable parameters (4 turnover rate values and 2 varying time points, i.e., adolescence and cessation of growth), for linear interpolation to evaluate the turnover rate at any given age (for details, see Hedges et al. [2007] and discussion section below).

Secondly, the  $^{14}\text{C}$  concentration of the diet must be known. In case of a purely terrestrial diet a  $^{14}\text{C}$  diet curve can be constructed from the atmospheric  $^{14}\text{C}$  concentration by assuming a time lag of 1–2 yr between the atmosphere and the consumed diet. We use the Northern Hemisphere  $^{14}\text{C}$  calibration curve (Bomb21NH1; Hua et al. 2022) as the atmospheric input and calculate a  $^{14}\text{C}$  diet curve ( $F^{14}\text{C}_{\text{diet}}$ ) by a 2-yr backwards moving average and standard deviation:

$$F^{14}\text{C}_{\text{diet}}(Y_i) = \text{mean}\langle F^{14}\text{C}_{\text{Bomb21NH1}}(Y \leq Y_i \ \& \ Y > Y_{i-2}) \rangle \tag{1}$$

$$\sigma_{F^{14}\text{C}_{\text{diet}}}(Y_i) = \text{std}\langle F^{14}\text{C}_{\text{Bomb21NH1}}(Y \leq Y_i \ \& \ Y > Y_{i-2}) \rangle \tag{2}$$

where  $Y_i$  range between 1940 and 2020.

In case of mixed terrestrial and marine diet the situation is much more complex because the  $^{14}\text{C}$  concentration of the surface ocean is not distributed uniformly geographically (e.g., Wanamaker et al. 2012). We use the surface ocean  $^{14}\text{C}$  datasets from Grimsey and Siglufjordur from the Icelandic shelf as our marine diet curve input (Wanamaker et al. 2012). The  $^{14}\text{C}$  marine surface ocean curve is constructed using a 3-yr moving average and standard deviation of the two datasets. The  $^{14}\text{C}$  marine surface ocean curve is subsequently extrapolated to 2020. A mixed terrestrial and marine  $^{14}\text{C}$  diet curve is then constructed by taking the relative fraction of the terrestrial  $^{14}\text{C}$  diet curve and the  $^{14}\text{C}$  surface ocean curve (see Figure 2A).

Table 1 Radiocarbon and stable isotope data as well as known birth and death years for the two individuals Case 1 and 2.

Lab no.	$F^{14}\text{C}$	$\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (‰ VPDB)	$\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (‰ AIR)	C/N (atomic)	Birth	Death	Age
AAR-26566	$1.1217 \pm 0.0041$	-20.3	11.4	3.3	1974	2015–2017	41–43
AAR-30392	$1.1622 \pm 0.0034$	-19.2	12.7	3.4	1940	1987–1994	47–54

Having constructed a  $^{14}\text{C}$  diet curve,  $F^{14}\text{C}_{\text{diet curve}}$ , the  $F^{14}\text{C}_{\text{bone}}$  at birth can be estimated as  $F^{14}\text{C}_{\text{diet curve}}(Y_{\text{birth}})$ , thus

$$F^{14}\text{C}_{\text{bone}}(Y_{\text{birth}}) = F^{14}\text{C}_{\text{diet}}(Y_{\text{birth}}) \quad (3)$$

After birth, the bone  $F^{14}\text{C}_{\text{bone}}$  is modeled using two components: the exchangeable and non-exchangeable carbon. The fraction of exchangeable carbon is estimated as the bone collagen carbon turnover rate in percent ( $f$ ) using the Hedges et al. (2007) model as  $f_i$ , where  $i$  range from 1 to 100 yr (Figure 2B). Further, the exchangeable carbon  $^{14}\text{C}$  content is estimated using the  $F^{14}\text{C}_{\text{diet}}$ . The non-exchangeable carbon ( $1-f_i$ ) is estimated using the  $F^{14}\text{C}_{\text{bone}}$  content from the previous year taking into account the  $^{14}\text{C}$  decay. Thus, the  $F^{14}\text{C}_{\text{bone}}$  collagen over lifetime of an individual is modeled as:

$$F^{14}\text{C}_{\text{bone}}(Y_{\text{birth}} + i) = f_i \cdot F^{14}\text{C}_{\text{diet}}(Y_{\text{birth}} + i) + (1 - f_i) \cdot F^{14}\text{C}_{\text{bone}}(Y_{\text{birth}} + i - 1) \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{1}{8267}\right) \quad (4)$$

Where  $i$  ranges from 1 to 100 yr and the last latter term is taking into account the  $^{14}\text{C}$  decay. Error estimates on the calculated  $F^{14}\text{C}_{\text{bone}}$  are calculated by resampling the  $^{14}\text{C}$  diet curve ( $F^{14}\text{C}_{\text{diet curve}}$ ) and bone collagen turnover rate ( $f$ ) 1000 times, assuming all uncertainties to be normally distributed. As an approximate further constraint, the bone collagen turnover rate ( $f$ ) is required to be decreasing with age ( $\partial f / \partial \text{age} < 0$ ) and  $f$  to be strictly greater than 0%.

## RESULTS—RADIOCARBON ANALYSIS

Results are reported in Table 1 and the calibrated  $^{14}\text{C}$  ages are shown in Figure 3.

### Case 1

The  $^{14}\text{C}$  analysis was performed in 2017 (AAR-26566). The extracted collagen was of good quality, with a yield of 11%. Isotope data showed no or limited indication of marine diet, with a  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  of -20.3‰, a  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  value of 11.4‰ and C/N ratio of 3.3. The  $^{14}\text{C}$  date demonstrates that the individual is modern with a  $F^{14}\text{C}$  value of  $1.1217 \pm 0.0041$ , falling within the bomb-pulse period. As shown in Figure 3, the calibrated age using 95% probability gives a very slim probability (only 2.7%) that the bone is from the years 1958.2 AD to 1958.5. More likely (92.7% probability) the time of death would be during the years from 1993.7–1996.7 AD. In reality, the ambiguity as to the relevant side of the bomb peak is unresolved. To provide a quick forensic result to the police, we took the naïve approach of a standard 10-yr time-lag correction for bone collagen turnover and no marine correction. This yields the possible time of death at 1968 or, more likely, in the time interval 2004–2007.




Figure 3 Shown is the Northern Hemisphere bomb calibration curve (Bomb21NH1\_2021; Hua et al. 2022) together with the calibrated probability distributions (white; Bronk Ramsey et al. 2010) for each individual (AAR-26566 and AAR-30392). The provided age ranges are uncorrected for collagen turnover rates. The arrows indicate a 10-yr shift of the probability distribution representing a simple lag-time correction for collagen turnover in human bones (gray). Shown are also the period of death for both individuals.

## Case 2

The  $^{14}\text{C}$  analysis was performed in 2019 (AAR-30392). The extracted collagen was of good quality, with a yield of 9.9%. Isotope data showed limited indication of marine diet, with a  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  of  $-19.2\text{‰}$ , a  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  of  $12.7\text{‰}$  and a C/N ratio of 3.4. The  $F^{14}\text{C}$  value demonstrated that the individual was modern ( $1.1622 \pm 0.0034$ ), falling within the bomb-pulse period. As shown in Figure 3, the calibrated age using 95% probability gives 17.7% probability of a time of death during the years 1958.5 AD to 1959.0, and 77.8% probability during 1989.2 AD to 1990.6. Again, to provide a quick forensic result to the police, we added a 10-yr time-lag correction for bone collagen turnover (i.e., year 2000) and assumed no marine correction. As the cranium was found in 1995 the latter time was ruled out, and we suggested that the most likely time of death was around 1970.

In hindsight, the application of unqualified lag-time turnover corrections is simply not generally valid in connection with bomb-pulse dating as demonstrated by our modeling results below and Figure 4.

## RESULTS— $^{14}\text{C}$ BONE COLLAGEN MODELING

The bone collagen models for the individuals of the two cases are presented in Figure 4 and Table 2. Using the known year of birth, a total of 7 models are calculated for each individual: one model representing a purely terrestrial diet and 6 models ranging from 10–60% marine diet. Table 2 provides the calculated  $F^{14}\text{C}_{\text{bone}}$  for the year of death which is then compared to the measured  $F^{14}\text{C}$  value presented by the difference between the measured  $F^{14}\text{C}$  (Table 1) and the  $F^{14}\text{C}_{\text{bone}}$  values ( $\Delta F^{14}\text{C}$ ; Table 2). Further, the Z-score, as defined in Table 2, is calculated for the  $\Delta F^{14}\text{C}$  values.




Figure 4 Panel A and B: the Northern Hemisphere bomb calibration curve (Bomb21NH1\_2021; Hua et al. 2022). Panel A shows the modeling results for AAR-25566 (Case 1) whereas Panel B shows the modeling results for AAR-30392 (Case 2). Both panel A and B show the modeled  $F^{14}\text{C}_{\text{bone}}$  curves from the time of birth using either a terrestrial diet curve or a 30% marine diet curve. The time of death is indicated with the vertical grey bar for each individual (for further details please consult the main text).

For Case 1 (AAR-26566), the purely terrestrial model appears to represent the best statistical agreement with the measured  $F^{14}\text{C}$  value with a possibility of up to 20% marine diet (Table 2). For Case 2 (AAR-30392), a 30% marine diet appears to represent the best statistical agreement with the measured  $F^{14}\text{C}$  value with a possible marine diet range between 20–40% (Table 2). Note, however, that the modeling for this individual, with a birth date in 1940, yields an extremely wide range (1960–2000) of possible death dates, too wide to be of practical value in a forensic case.

## DISCUSSION

As mentioned above, direct calibration of post 1950 using the bomb calibration curve is only useful if the turnover rate of the sample can be assumed to be less than 1–2 yr. Often a time-lag correction is applied to the calibrated age probability distributions in order to correct for longer turnover rates (e.g., Ubelaker and Buchholz 2005). Our initial time lag correction of 10 yr is clearly incorrect when compared to the actual time of death (Table 1; Figure 3). Both men were in their forties when they disappeared (41 and 47 yr old respectively) and in both cases, we estimated their time of death too early by ca. 10 and 17 yr, respectively. The most likely explanation for this discrepancy is our initial naive approach to correcting for the bone-collagen turnover rate. A more sophisticated approach would have been to apply an age dependent time lag as suggested by Ubelaker and Buchholz (2005). Ubelaker et al. (2015) studied published literature on modern  $^{14}\text{C}$  values of human bones to analyze correlation between age of death and the  $^{14}\text{C}$  time lag. In their study, they used 39 individuals with known birth and death dates. They reported the lag time for decadal intervals of age at death of the individuals. A clear lag time increase was observed with advancing age, but the study failed to detect significant sex differences. The average time lag for individuals dying in their forties is  $16.78 \pm 9.86$  yr according to their study. However, the range around this mean is very large, from 3 yr to 30.34 yr, probably because of the importance of the birth year not being accounted

Table 2 Bone  $F^{14}C$  modeling for the two individuals.  $F^{14}C_{\text{bone}}$  indicates the modeled  $F^{14}C$  value using either a purely terrestrial diet curve or mixed curves with 10–60% marine influence. The  $\Delta F^{14}C$  is calculated as difference between the measured  $F^{14}C$  value and the modeled  $F^{14}C_{\text{bone}}$ . The Z-score indicates the  $\Delta F^{14}C$  in units of the standard deviation by dividing the  $\Delta F^{14}C$  value by the error on the  $\Delta F^{14}C$  value.

Diet	Model for AAR-26566 (Case 1)			Model for AAR-30392 (Case 2)		
	$F^{14}C_{\text{bone}}$ at death	$\Delta F^{14}C$	Z	$F^{14}C_{\text{bone}}$ at death	$\Delta F^{14}C$	Z
Terrestrial	$1.1244 \pm 0.0260$	$-0.0027 \pm 0.0263$	-0.1	$1.2351 \pm 0.0530$	$-0.0729 \pm 0.0531$	-1.4
10% Marine	$1.1154 \pm 0.0252$	$0.0063 \pm 0.0255$	0.2	$1.2136 \pm 0.0476$	$-0.0514 \pm 0.0477$	-1.1
20% Marine	$1.1035 \pm 0.0209$	$0.0182 \pm 0.0213$	0.9	$1.1940 \pm 0.0414$	$-0.0318 \pm 0.0415$	-0.8
30% Marine	$1.0953 \pm 0.0200$	$0.0264 \pm 0.0204$	1.3	$1.1683 \pm 0.0400$	$-0.0061 \pm 0.0402$	-0.2
40% Marine	$1.0843 \pm 0.0174$	$0.0374 \pm 0.0178$	2.1	$1.1431 \pm 0.0346$	$0.0191 \pm 0.0348$	0.5
50% Marine	$1.0749 \pm 0.0159$	$0.0468 \pm 0.0164$	2.8	$1.1198 \pm 0.0333$	$0.0424 \pm 0.0335$	1.3
60% Marine	$1.0635 \pm 0.0131$	$0.0582 \pm 0.0137$	4.2	$1.0959 \pm 0.0293$	$0.0663 \pm 0.0295$	2.2



for. The mean lag time is thus not very helpful to correct accurately for the collagen turnover rate, at least not for the 40–50-yr age interval. It is important to note, that even this age dependent time-lag method would not have made our initial estimate of death more accurate.

Hedges et al. (2007) took a different approach in their study of collagen extracted from mid-shaft femur of 67 Australian individuals who died during the years 1991–1993 at the age range from 40 to 97 yr. They defined turnover as a function of biological age, i.e., percentage of collagen lost and replaced each year in a lifespan and compared the model calculations with actual time of death. Considerable scatter was found when  $^{14}\text{C}$  content of collagen was plotted versus date at death, attributed to uncertainty in individual turnover rate (Fig. 2 in Hedges et al. 2007). Their model describes turnover as an age-dependent function and integrates diet and turnover throughout life. This means that calculations of the  $^{14}\text{C}$  level of bone collagen of each individual is carried out iteratively for each year from birth ( $Y_0$ ) to death ( $Y_D$ ).

In our study, using their approach with the turnover rates they obtained by parameter fitting to their data set, we constructed a suite of models where a range from a purely terrestrial to a 60% marine diet was used on each individual. It was found that a 0–20% marine diet could explain the measured  $F^{14}\text{C}$  value at time of death for individual 1, whereas the model results suggest a 20–40% marine diet for individual 2 (Table 2; Figure 4). This is perhaps not surprising, but turnover correction methods often seem to overlook the possible influence from a marine diet, which can be very important since the atmosphere-sea difference in  $^{14}\text{C}$  concentration can be close to a factor of 2 during the peak of the bomb pulse, which strongly dampened in the surface ocean.

Interpreting diet using  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values for modern humans is complicated because of the Suess effect (the  $^{13}\text{C}$  depletion of the atmosphere since the industrial revolution) and because of the food production where  $\text{C}_4$  plants like maize may make up a significant proportion. However, if it is assumed that  $\text{C}_4$  plants play an insignificant role and further that we have a simple two-component system consisting of the terrestrial and marine environments, then the stable isotopes may estimate the marine diet fraction. The average  $\text{C}_3$  plant  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values is ca. 2‰ lower than the preindustrial value (Kohn 2010). Therefore, the terrestrial  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  endpoint for collagen can be estimated to  $-23\text{‰}$ , and the marine  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  endpoint can be assumed to be  $-12\text{‰}$ . On this basis, the marine diet of the individual of Case 1 is roughly estimated to 24% and for the individual of Case 2 marine diet is roughly 34%. With consideration to the uncertainties with respect to the marine diet calculation, this appears to agree with percent marine diet as suggested from our bone collagen model.

## CONCLUSIONS

Provided that the birth year is known, we have demonstrated that we are able to explain the measured  $F^{14}\text{C}$  value and time of death. Our finding warns against simple time-lag corrections in forensic cases of  $^{14}\text{C}$  dating of human bones. However, without knowing the birth year our method is of little help in providing the time of death. We suggest that in forensic cases the possible age of the person should be estimated by the anthropologist and shared with the  $^{14}\text{C}$  laboratory, which then may produce families of curves for different birth years and year of death. From the suite of curves, a range of possible death years may be produced.

So, how can we contribute to identify a missing unidentified person if only few skeletal remains are available and no information on birth date nor date or age at death?

As a first step,  $^{14}\text{C}$  dating should be performed to determine whether the individual is recent and of forensic relevance (Bomb pulse age).

If so, the police could inform of unsolved missing persons, and their birth dates as well as date of their disappearance.

After running the model, we can inform the police, which of the missing persons have dates of birth and disappearance/death in accordance with the model results of the submitted remains.

## REFERENCES

- Brock F, Geoghegan V, Thomas B, Jurkschat K, Higham TFG. 2013. Analysis of bone “collagen” extraction products for radiocarbon dating. *Radiocarbon* 55(2–3):445–463.
- Bronk Ramsey C, Dee M, Lee S, Nakagawa T, Staff R. 2010. Developments in the calibration and modeling of radiocarbon dates. *Radiocarbon* 52(3):953–961.
- Brown TA, Nelson DE, Vogel JS, Southon JR. 1988. Improved collagen extraction by modified Longin method. *Radiocarbon* 30(2):171–177.
- Hedges REM, Clement JG, Thomas DL, O’Connell TC. 2007. Collagen turnover in the adult femoral mid-shaft: Modeled from anthropogenic radiocarbon tracer measurements. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 133(2):808–816
- Hua Q, Turnbull JC, Santos GM, Rakowski AZ, Ancapichún S, De Pol-Holz R, Hammer S, Lehman SJ, Levin I, Miller LB, J. Palmer JG, Turney CSM. 2022. Atmospheric radiocarbon for the period 1950–2019. *Radiocarbon* 64(4): 723–745.
- Johnstone-Bedford EC, Blau S. 2020. A review of bomb pulse dating and its use in the investigation of unidentified human remains. *Journal of Forensic Sciences* 65(3):676–685.
- Kohn MJ. 2010. Carbon isotope compositions of terrestrial  $\text{C}_3$  plants as indicators of (paleo) ecology and (paleo) climate. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 107(46):19691–19695.
- Longin R. 1971. New method of collagen extraction for radiocarbon dating. *Nature* 230(5291): 241–242.
- Olsen J, Tikhomirov D, Grosen C, Heinemeier J, Klein M. 2017. Radiocarbon analysis on the new AARAMS 1MV Tandatron. *Radiocarbon* 59(3): 905–913.
- Reimer PJ, Brown TA, Reimer RW. 2004. Discussion: reporting and calibration of post-bomb  $^{14}\text{C}$  data. *Radiocarbon* 46(3):1299–1309.
- Santos GM, Southon JR, Griffin S, Beaupre S R, Druffel ERM. 2007. Ultra small-mass AMS  $^{14}\text{C}$  sample preparation and analyses at KCCAMS/UCI Facility. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms* 259(1):293–302.
- Stuiver M, Polach HA. 1977. Reporting of C-14 data. *Radiocarbon* 19(3):355–363.
- Ubelaker DH, Buchholz BA. 2005. Complexities in the use of bomb-pulse radiocarbon to determine time since death of human skeletal remains. *Forensic science communication* 8:1–8.
- Ubelaker DH, Plens C, Soriano EP, Diniz MV, de Almeida Junior E, Daruge E Jr, Franceschini L Jr, Machado CEP. 2022. Lag time of modern bomb-pulse radiocarbon in human bone tissues: new data from Brazil. *Forensic Science International* 331:1–9.
- Ubelaker DH, Thomas C, Olson JE. 2015. The impact of age at death on the lag time of radiocarbon value in human bones. *Forensic Science International* 251:56–60
- Vogel JS, Southon JR, Nelson DE, Brown TA. 1984. Performance of catalytical condensed carbon for use in accelerator mass spectrometry. *Nuclear Instruments & Methods in Physics Research Section B-Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms* 5(2):289–293.
- Wanamaker AD, Butler PG, Scourse JD, Heinemeier J, Eiriksson J, Knudsen KL, Richardson CA. 2012. Surface changes in the North Atlantic meridional overturning circulation during the last millennium. *Nature Communications* 3(1):1–7.
- Wild EM, Arlamosky KA, Golser R, Kurchera W, Priller A, Puchegger S, Rom W, Steiner P, Wycudilik W. 2000.  $^{14}\text{C}$  dating with the bomb peak: an application to forensic medicine. *Nuclear Instrument and Methods in Physics Research B* 172(1–4):944–950.
- Yaemsiri S, Hou N, Slining M, He K. 2010. Growth rate of human fingernails and toenails in healthy American young adults. *Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology* 24(4): 420–423.